1 6/4/2008 3:57 PM 2 **Board of Forestry** 3 Synthesis of June 2-4, 2008 meeting 4 Sacramento, CA 5 Gary Nakamura, nakamura@nature.berkeley.edu, 530 224-4902 6 7 Monday, June 2, Forest Practice Committee, T\_I Rule Review workshop, Group 2 rules – 8 Geographic scope and THP preparation 9 10 In attendance: Clay Brandow, Duane Shintaku, Dennis Hall, Dave Hope, Gaylon Lee, Glenda 11 Marsh, Mike Laing, Michelle Dias, Peter Ribar, Dan Fisher, Richard Gienger, Noelle (EPIC), 12 Eric Carlson (Assoc. Calif. Loggers), Pete Cafferata, Jon Ambrose (NMFS), Charlotte Ambrose 13 (NMFS), Mike Laing, Tom Daugherty (NMFS), Tim Feller, Jim Ostrowski, David Nawi, Lloyd 14 Bradshaw, Gary Nakamura. 15 Pete and Gary prepared a synthesis of this workshop presenting the major issues discussed and 16 17 decisions made. C:\Gary\2008\BOF\ForestPracC2008\T\_IRuleReview\BOFT\_IRuleGroup2WorkshopSynthesis622008GN 18 PHC (2).doc 19 20 All the T\_I Rules, comments on the rules, and proposed amendments are posted at the Board of 21 Forestry and Fire Protection website, 22 http://www.fire.ca.gov/CDFBOFDB/board/board\_proposed\_rule\_packages.aspx 23 24 In discussing Group 2 rules, geographic scope of the T I rules, it is clear that the TAC Literature 25 Review is being weighed heavily. TAC should be prepared to explain the scope of the Literature 26 Review and its limitations, so that application of the Literature Review and Primers are not 27 extended beyond the data so to speak. Direction from the Board and budget constraints limited the scope of the Literature Review. 28 29 30 **Tuesday, June 3, Forest Practice Committee –** 31 32 In attendance: in addition to Monday's attendees, Paul Mason, Chris Zimny. 33 34 Continuation of discussion of T I Rule Review. National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) 35 staff who will be working with the Board on this review of T I Rules and anadromous fish issues 36 generally were present at Monday and Tuesday's Forest Practice Committee workshop and 37 meeting. Charlotte Ambrose and Paul Mason raised the issue of the scope of this T I Rule 38 Review, to determine the amount of time and effort NMFS would put into this. (This question of 39 the depth and scope of this rule review was also raised by Gaylon Lee, WQCB, and Glenda 40 Marsh, DFG at earlier workshops). Zimny indicated that the T\_I Rule review would be as broad 41 and comprehensive as the letters, questions, and suggestions that were sent to the Board were. 42 The Board was reviewing the issues and questions raised by the stakeholders about the T I 43 Rules. 44

45